JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume **35**, No. 1, February 2022 http://dx.doi.org/10.14403/jcms.2022.35.1.85

SYMBOLICALLY EXPANSIVE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

Jumi Oh

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider the notion of expansiveness on compact metric spaces for symbolically point of view. And we show that a homeomorphism is symbolically countably expansive if and only if it is symbolically measure expansive. Moreover, we prove that a homeomorphism is symbolically *N*-expansive if and only if it is symbolically measure *N*-expanding.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and f be a homeomorphism on X. The notion of expansiveness for f on X introduced by Utz [7] is very important to study the qualitative theory of the dynamical systems. A homeomorphism $f: X \to X$ is called *expansive* if there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \neq y \in X$ there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) > \delta$. Simply speaking, a system is expansive if two orbits cannot remain close to each other under the action of the system. This notion is closely related with the orbit behavior, so, it plays a key role in the study of the stability of the dynamics.

It is natural to consider various type of expansiveness such as N-expansiveness[3], measure expansiveness[5], countably expansiveness[5], and so on. Artigue and Carrasco-Olivera [1] consider a relationship between the measure expansiveness and the countably expansiveness.

REMARK 1.1. [1, Theorem 2.1] Let f be a homeomorphism on a compact metric space X. The following statements are equivalent :

- (1) f is countably-expansive,
- (2) f is measure-expansive.

Received January 20, 2022; Accepted February 04, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37D05; Secondary 37D20.

Key words and phrases: Expansive, measure expansive, countably expansive, symbolically expansive.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT)(No. 2019R1A2C1002150).

Jumi Oh

And [4] consider the new notion of expansiveness which is a symbolic viewpoint, called by *symbolically expansiveness*. So, we have a following problem that

"What is the relationship between the symbolically countable expansiveness and the symbolically measure expansiveness ?

For solving this problem, we consider the notion of symbolically expansiveness, symbolically N-expansiveness, symbolically countably expansiveness on compact metric spaces. And we show that a homeomorphism is symbolically countably expansive if and only if it is symbolically measure expansive. Moreover, we prove that a homeomorphism is symbolically N-expansive if and only if it is symbolically measure N-expanding.

2. Symbolically expansive homeomorphisms

Let X be a compact metric space, and $f: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. We say that $\epsilon = \{A_i \subset X : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a *finite partition of* x if it satisfies the following three conditions :

- Each $A_i \neq \emptyset$: Borel set for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$,
- $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$,
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i = X.$

And we denote $\mathcal{F}(X)$ by the collection of all finite partition of X.

For $\forall \epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\forall x \in X$, denote $\epsilon(x)$ by the element in ϵ containing x. If $\forall \epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\forall x \in X$, the following set called by the *dynamic* ϵ -ball at x

$$\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(x) = \{ y \in X : f^n(y) \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(f^n(x)), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$
$$= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{-n}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(f^n(x))].$$

From the above concept of partition, we define the symbolically expansiveness as following.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a compact metric space, and $f: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism.

- (1) f is symbolically expansive if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$.
- (2) f is symbolically *N*-expansive if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x)$ has at most *N*-elements for all $x \in X$.

(3) f is symbolically countably expansive if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x)$ has at countably many elements for all $x \in X$.

Based on the above definitions, it is natural to consider the relationship between expansiveness and symbolically expansiveness on a compact metric space. There is an example that it is symbolically expansive but is not expansive.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let S^1 be a unit circle and $f: S^1 \to S^1$ be an irrational rotation map. Regard S^1 as $[0, 2\pi)$ for convenience. Then

- (1) It is well known that there is no expansive map on S^1 . Therefore, f is not expansive.
- (2) f is symbolically expansive.

Proof. For each i = 1, 2, 3, 4, let $A_i = \left[\frac{(i-1)\pi}{2}, \frac{i\pi}{2}\right)$ and $\epsilon = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$. Then ϵ is a finite partition of S^1 with a diameter $\frac{\pi}{2}$. For any $x \in S^1$, choose A_i containing x and take a point $y \in A_i$ with $x \neq y$. Suppose x < y. Since f is a minimal isometry, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f^k(x) \in A_{i-1}$$
 and $f^k(y) \in A_i$,

where $A_0 = A_4$. This means that $y \notin \Gamma^f_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(x)$ and so $\Gamma^f_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(x) = \{x\}$. Therefore, we conclude that f is symbolically expansive.

Clearly, by the definitions of Definition 2.1, we can see that symbolically 1-expansive \Rightarrow symbolically 2-expansive $\cdots \Rightarrow$ symbolically *N*-expansive \Rightarrow symbolically countably expansive.

But the converses are not true. That is, we can construct an example which is symbolically N-expansive but is not N-expansive on a compact metric space (for more details, see [2]).

Now we will characterize the symbolically expansiveness for measuretheoretic point of view.

Let X be a compact metric space, and $f: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. And let $\beta(X)$ be the Borel σ -algebra on X endowed with weak^{*} topology, denote by

- $\mathcal{M}(X)$: the set of Borel probability measures on X,

- $\mathcal{M}^*(X)$: the set of all nonatomic measures on X.

Jumi Oh

DEFINITION 2.3. Let X be a compact metric space, and $f: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism.

- (1) f is symbolically measure expansive if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x)) = 0$ for $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}^*(X), \forall x \in X$.
- (2) f is symbolically measure expanding if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ for $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \forall x \in X$.
- (3) f is symbolically measure N-expanding if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that there is a set B_x containing x satisfying the following two conditions
 - $\cdot \#B_x \leq N,$
 - $\cdot \ \mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x) \setminus B_x) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \forall x \in X.$
- (4) f is symbolically invariant measure N-expanding if there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that there is a set B_x containing x satisfying the following two conditions
 - $\cdot \#B_x \leq N$, where #B is the cardinality of the set B,
 - $\cdot \ \mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x) \setminus B_x) = 0 \text{ for } \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \, \forall x \in X.$

So, we can check that easily,

symbolically measure expansive = symbolically measure 1-expanding \Rightarrow symbolically measure 2-expanding $\cdots \Rightarrow$ symbolically measure N-expanding.

We are ready to prove that symbolically countably expansiveness is equivalent to the symbolically measure expansiveness as following.

THEOREM 2.4. f is symbolically countably expansive if and only if f is symbolically measure expansive.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) clear.

 (\Leftarrow) Suppose that f is symbolically measure expansive. Then there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x)) = 0$ for $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}^{*}(X), \forall x \in X. \dots (*)$

Assume that f is not symbolically countably expansive. Then given $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, there is $\bar{x}(\epsilon) = \bar{x} \in X$ such that $\Gamma_{\epsilon}(\bar{x})$ is uncountable. By Theorem 8.1. of [6], there is $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*(X)$ such that

$$\mu(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\bar{x})) > 0.$$

This contradicts to (*). Therefore, we complete the proof.

Moreover, we prove that symbolically N-expansiveness is equivalent to symbolically measure N-expanding as following.

THEOREM 2.5. f is symbolically N-expansive if and only if f is symbolically measure N-expanding.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) clear.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that f is symbolically measure N-expanding. Then there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that there is a set B_x containing x satisfying that

(i)
$$\#B_x \leq N$$
,
(ii) $\mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x) \setminus B_x) = 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \; \forall x \in X.$

Assume that f is not symbolically N-expansive. Given $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, there exists $\bar{x} \in X$, $\#\Gamma_{\epsilon}(\bar{x}) \geq N + 1$. Choose a set $B \subset \Gamma_{\epsilon}(\bar{x}) \setminus \{\bar{x}\}$ such that #B = N.

Say $B = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, let δ_{y_i} be the Dirac measure at y_i . That is, for all $A \in \beta(X)$,

$$\delta_{y_i}(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y_i \notin A\\ 1, & \text{if } y_i \in A. \end{cases}$$

Define $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{y_i}$, then it is a Borel probability measure. For

every set $B_{\bar{x}}$ containing \bar{x} with $\#B_{\bar{x}} \leq N$,

$$(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\bar{x}) \setminus B_{\bar{x}}) \cap B = (\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\bar{x}) \cap B) - (B_{\bar{x}} \cap B) \neq \emptyset.$$

This set contains at least one element, put y_i . For this y_i ,

$$\mu(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(\bar{x}) \setminus B_{\bar{x}}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{y_i}(\Gamma_{\epsilon}(\bar{x}) \setminus B_{\bar{x}})) \ge \frac{1}{N} \neq 0.$$

This contradicts to complete the proof.

In fact, if N = 1, it is easy to see that f is symbolically 1-expansive if and only if it is symbolically measure 1-expanding.

References

- A. Artigue and D. Carrasco-Olivera, A note on measure-expansive diffeomorphisms, J. Math. Anal. & Appl. 428 (2015), 713-716.
- [2] B. Carvalho, W. Cordeiro, N-expansive homeomorphisms with the shadowing property, J. Differ. Equ. 261 (2016) 3734–3755.
- [3] C. A. Morales, A generalization of expansivity, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 32 (2012), 293–301.

Jumi Oh

- [4] C. A. Morales, J. Oh, Symbolic topological dynamics in the circle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2019), 3413–3424.
- [5] C. A. Morales and Víctor F. Sirvent, *Expansive measures*, IMPA Mathematical Publications 29th Brazilian Mathematics Colloquium, Rio de Janeiro, 2013.
- [6] K. R. Parthasarathy, Probability measures on metric spaces, Probability and Mathematical Statistics, no. 3 Academic Press, Inc., New York-London 1967.
- [7] W. R. Utz, Unstable homeomorphisms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 769– 774.

Jumi Oh

Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, 16419, Republic of Korea. *E-mail*: ohjumi@skku.edu